THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI MANIKRAO GAVIT): (a) to (c) "Prisons" is a State subject. The management and administration of prisons fall in the domain of the State Governments. Complaints of corruption are, therefore, addressed to the State Governments which take appropriate action. Recognizing the need for improving the conditions of jails, including the prisoners and prison staff, the Union Government has been providing financial assistance to the State Governments aimed at improving the overall conditions through construction of new jails, capacity expansion of existing jails, construction of staff quarters and improvement of water supply and sanitation.

Funding for police modernisation

*116. DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY:
SHRIMATI JAMANA DEVI BARUPAL:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Centre is pursuing policy of arming State Governments to teeth in dealing with overgrowing menace of Naxalites and cross border terrorism;

(b) if so, whether Home Ministry has approached Finance Ministry with a proposal to hike central funding for police modernization in several Naxal hit States from 75 per cent to 100 per cent;

(c) if so, to what extent States have been provided sufficient funds to improve modernisation of police forces in States to meet this threat; and

(d) to what extent these States have been able to control the menace of Naxalites and cross border terrorism?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SRIPRAKASH JAISWAL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In the proposal to revise the Scheme for Modernisation of the State Police Forces (MPF Scheme), 9 (nine) Naxalite affected States are proposed to be provided 75% Cetral funding; presently 4(four) of these States, namely Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh and Jharkhand are provided 75% Central funding.

(c) Under the current MPF Scheme, the States of Jammu and Kashmir, Assam, Manipur and Tripura which face cross-border terrorism, are provided 100% Central funding; Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland and Sikkim are provided 75% Central funding; whereas the States of

Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal are provided 60% Central funding. In the revised proposal, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Nagaland are also proposed to be given 100% Central funding. The extent of Central funding given/being given to these States from 2000-01 under the MPF Scheme is enclosed in the Statement (*See* below).

(d) The incidence of Naxal violence has marginally declined in 2004 compared to 2003. The overall violence in Jammu and Kashmir and North-Eastern States has shown perceptible decline in 2004 over 2003. However, the Central Government and the concerned State Governments continue to regularly monitor the situation and pursue appropriate measures to further contain the menace of Naxalism and cross-border terrorism.

Statement

Extent of Central funding during 2000-01 to 2004-05 to Jammu & Kashmir, Naxal affected States and the States in the North East under the Scheme for Modernization of State Police Forces.

(Rs. in crore)

Name of State	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
Andhra Pradesh	72.02	77.20	81.32	69.46	70.60
Arunachal Pradesh	01.15	04.45	5.20	7.24	4.71
Assam	36.58	38.59	16.50	36.52	44.42
Bihar	57.59	54.00	11.50	0.43$	46.49
Chhattisgarh	20.58	21.97	16.70	17.47	16.36
J&K	30.83	28.50	28.50	25.00	117.00
Jharkhand	40.15	28.93	12.73	8.50	15.49
Madhya Pradesh	54.49	53.00	51.33	48.24	36.51
Maharashtra	83.10	92.10	67.94	62.84	63.44
Manipur	04.10	04.95	0.73	11.50	12.06
Meghalaya	01.54	05.19	0.55	5.29	5.17
Mizoram	04.95	05.50	5.50	8.47	5.17
Nagaland	02.84	13.46	9.95	21.00	12.05
Orissa	30.58	30.50	16.76	21.91	21.00
Sikkim	01.83	2.87	0.09*	00.94	2.99
Tripura	06.39	05.60	5.60	12.83	7.75
Uttar Pradesh	123.97	116.05	60.39	65.02	85.07
West Bengal	60.48	56.50	0.33*	2.17	38.92

*Amount indicated against Sikkim and West Bengal is only for POLNET.
$—Amount indicated against Bihar is only for POLNET.